Emergence of multicellularity in a model of cell growth, death and aggregation under size-dependent selection

Salva Duran-Nebreda[1,2] and Ricard Solé*[1,2,3]
[1]ICREA-Complex Systems Lab, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Dr Aiguader 88, 08003 Barcelona, Spain
[2]Institut de Biologia Evolutiva, UPF-CSIC, Psg Barceloneta 37, 08003 Barcelona, Spain
[3]Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe NM 87501, USA

How multicellular life forms evolved out from unicellular ones constitutes a major problem in our understanding of the evolution of our biosphere. A recent set of experiments involving yeast cell populations has shown that selection for faster sedimenting cells leads to the appearance of stable aggregates of cells that are able to split into smaller clusters. It was suggested that the observed evolutionary patterns could be the result of evolved programs affecting cell death. Here we show, using a simple model of cell-cell interactions and evolving adhesion rates, that the observed patterns in cluster size and localized mortality can be easily interpreted in terms of waste accumulation and toxicity driven apoptosis. This simple mechanism would have played a key role in the early evolution of multicellular life forms based on both aggregative and clonal development. The potential extensions of this work and its implications for natural and synthetic multicellularity are discussed.

Keywords: Multicellularity, Artificial evolution, Major transitions

arXiv:1404.0196v2 [q-bio.PE] 8 Nov 2015

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the key major transitions of evolution involved the emergence of multicellular life forms out from single-cell systems [1,2]. The standard view is that groups of cooperating cells are able to take advantage of division of labor in order to better exploit external resources, avoid predators or improve given adaptive traits [3,4]. Yet the transition multicellularity (MC) encapsulated in this picture involves an increase in overall complexity [5] and thus increasing costs for coordinated cooperating behavior. The main problem is then to understand what makes the tradeoff between these two sides balance out.

Available phylogenetic techniques have shed light into how and when the roots of multicellularity got established [6-9]. Particularly, comparative analyses of different clades of multicellular organisms have proven to be very useful in delineating of the genetic toolkit required for multicellular existence [10]. These studies show that cell-cell communication and adhesion genes were co-opted from ancestral functions unrelated to multicellular phenotypes into robust developmental processes. In this vein, many unicellular species have the potential to behave (at least in some circumstances) as cooperative ensembles of cells [11,12].

Two major paths towards MC have been identified [7]. The first is clonal development [6,8] which involves the evolution of a life cycle that requires all cells to display adhesion molecules capable of maintaining them together and for all cells to share the same genotype. The second is aggregative development. This alternative path does not require clonality and is present is some well known but rare systems, such as slime molds [1]. In this scenario, MC aggregates can form under some conditions and disaggregate into non-clonal individual cells. More recently, it has been found that some unicellular species display a MC pattern of development based on aggregative dynamics [13]. These remarkable findings suggest that non-clonal developmental processes might have played an important role in the early evolution of multicellular life forms [14].

In a recent set of experiments [15-18] artificial selection of cell clusters under gravity constraints was performed. The authors took advantage of the fastest sedimentation speed of cell aggregates of increasing size as a shortcut for selecting for more complex cell assemblies and potential mutations favouring them. Remarkably, after a relatively short number of generations, obtained by repeated culture transfers, the so called snowflake phenotypes appeared in a predictable way. These are rounded clusters of cells that appear attached to each other. The authors also studied the role played by cellular interactions and cluster structure on the underlying reproductive processes. It was found that clusters do not reproduce through events associated to single cells but instead involved a cluster-level set of events and -it was argued- a division of labor resulting from an apparently active control of apoptosis. The sequence of events as reported from this microcosm experiments has important consequences for our understanding of the evolution of multicellularity and potential scenarios for recreating the first steps from single cells to cooperating ensembles and organisms. The claim that evolved apoptotic paths might be at work is specially appealing.

Performing actual experiments involving physical aggregates is a necessary step towards reconstructing the

*corresponding author



FIG. 1 Modeling evolution of multicellular aggregates. Following the experimental setup described in (Ratcliff et al 2012) we consider a physically embodied description of aggregates growing and falling under the action of gravity (a). For simplicity, the spatial domain is confined to a two-dimensional lattice. In it, yeast cells have a limited number of potential attached cells and, in response to the local concentration of chemical species, cells can divide or die. They can remain attached to daughter cells due to failure of separation, thus forming aggregates (b). Such aggregates are modeled in terms of simple repelling particles connected by springs (c). The physical displacement or breakage of these aggregates is introduced by cell death (see text).

events that pervaded the rise of MC. Most theoretical models consider genetic traits but typically ignore embodiment: both individual cells and aggregates are mapped into non-dimensional, point objects, but including the actual embodiment makes a difference [19]. In this paper we present a computational model of Ratcliff's et al experiments, by dealing with a simple set of assumptions that support an alternative interpretation, based on the accumulation of toxic products -such as acetic acid or ammonia- inherent to yeast metabolism [20,21], which could take place inside a large cluster instead of programmed cell death [22]. The model involves a physical, embodied implementation of cellular aggregates falling in a given medium. Our model allows to reproduce the basic experimental results and provide a computational framework to analyse alternative scenarios for the emergence of MC.

II. METHODS

The experiments summarised above include a selection process obtained by sequentially growing yeast in a well mixed medium and selecting for the cells displaying faster sedimentation. This approach immediately makes larger clusters of cells to be preferentially selected as in [15]. Here we examine these results under the light of a simple, embodied computational model using the NetLogo programming language, which allows to simulate Newtonian physics [23] on groups of interacting particles. Here cells are represented as objects having a given position and velocity. Cell-cell interactions are modelled by simple but physically meaningful spring-like interactions. Similarly, the interaction between cells and the fluid environment within which they move (essentially under free fall) is also introduced in a realistic manner. Additional rules related to nutrient and waste diffusion and consumption are also introduced.

A. Computational model

Our model considers a spatially extended description of the individuals and their interactions (figure 1a-c). For simplicity, we assume a two-dimensional spatial domain Γ. In this area, cells are described as point physical objects interacting (figure 1b), when attached to each other, through springs (figure 1c). Moreover, these objects are subject to gravitation fields when appropriate, or display a random walk otherwise (see selection process section).

The experiment starts with a population of single cells located on random positions along Γ. Cells increase in biomass through the consumption of the available nutrient to them and, if a particular threshold is surpassed, a cell can divide and asymmetrically split the resources between the two resulting cells. Stochastically, these two new cells can fail to separate correctly and become an aggregate, which in turn determines some of the individual properties of the cells (namely the sedimentation speed). Yeast cells are considered to have a limited number of potential attached cells due to geometrical constraints. As such, aggregates in the simulation are, in essence, Bethe lattices with k_n neighbors (we consider $k_n = 4$ as the upper limit due to physical constraints).

Following the original set up [15], the simulated experiments include two distinct phases: growth and sedimentation. In the former, cells are grown in a well mixed tank until a certain number is reached. In this phase, cells move by random walking through Γ, consume nutrients in order to grow and multiply, but also generate generic waste byproducts that can cause their death. In the second stage, cells fall under the action of a gravitational field, modelled by a biased random walk using Stoke's law for the vertical component of the bias. This selection step is considered sufficiently short so that cells neither divide nor die. After a given time -the settling time-, those aggregates collected at the lower part of Γ are used to seed back the next round of the process, to

be located again randomly all over the spatial domain.

The basic components of the models presented here are cells or clusters of cells resulting from birth and death processes. At any time t in a given simulation, the total population will be composed by a set $\mathcal{A}$ of $n(t)$ aggregates, namely

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, ..., A_{n(t)}\}. \quad (1)$$

Each aggregate A_i is formed by a set of linked cells, i. e.

$$A_i = \{C_{1i}, C_{2i}, ..., C_{n_i,i}\}. \quad (2)$$

Let us label as $|A_i|$ the size of the i-th aggregate. The mass of each (i) cell within a given (j) aggregate will be indicated as M_{ij}. Cells in the model have a constant uptake of resources from their immediate sorroundings. At the same time nutrient diffuses and is homogeneously replenished in Γ. To take into account these processes, nutrient concentration change in the finite element ϕ_{ij} is given by the following partial differential equation:



FIG. 2 The basic set of rules used in our model approach to the evolution experiments. The model introduces a cellular death mechanism based on metabolic byproduct accumulation. A given aggregate A_i, here composed by just three cells, is shown in (a). It can experience three different types of processes: cell division without (b) and with (c) an increase of aggregate size and (d) cell death. The last scenario takes place if the waste concentration of -say- the third cell C_{3i} is above a critical threshold δ_c. If the first cell, C_{1i}, has a mass larger than another threshold M_c and has fewer than 4 spring-connected relatives, it will split generating an additional cell. This new cell can leave the aggregate (b) or remain attached (c) with probabilities $1 - p_{1i}$ and p_{1i}, respectively.

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{ij}}{\partial t} = D_\phi \bigtriangledown^2 \phi_{ij} - \rho \Theta_{ij} \phi_{ij} + \delta_\phi \phi_0 - \delta_\phi \phi_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

The Heaviside function Θ_{ij} is used to indicate the presence or absence of cells in that particular patch of the lattice (so we have $\Theta_{ij} = 1$ if a cell is present and zero otherwise). On this same term, the parameter ρ represents the intake rate of nutrients from the culture medium. The last two terms of the equation are introduced as a replenishment process to ensure that, in the absence of cells, nutrient field recovers its initial value ϕ_0. Here the diffusion operator $\nabla^2 \phi_{ij}$ is numerically computed (using the NetLogo libraries) by means of a standard discretization form:

$$D_\phi \nabla^2 \phi_{ij} = D_\phi \left[\phi_{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{kl} \phi_{kl} \right], \quad (4)$$

where D_ϕ accounts for the diffusion coefficient. The energy change for i-th cell in the j-th aggregate is:

$$\frac{\partial M_{ij}}{\partial t} = \rho \phi_{ij} - \beta_c M_{ij}(1 + \kappa \Delta_{ij}). \quad (5)$$

Here β_c represents the maintenance costs and Δ_{ij} accounts for the number of divisions this particular cell has undergone, causing cells to increasingly spread their divisions. If the energy value of a particular cell reaches its division threshold, a new cell is created and the original energy value is split asymmetrically between the cells. Conversely, cells also generate generic waste as a byproduct of their metabolic activity. The change in finite element W_{ij} is:

$$\frac{\partial W_{ij}}{\partial t} = D_W \bigtriangledown^2 W_{ij} + \gamma \Theta_{ij} M_{ij} - \delta_W W_{ij}. \quad (6)$$

Similarly to the nutrient concentration, waste is created in those positions of the lattice occupied by cells (heaviside function Θ_{ij}), in a quantity proportional to the maintenance costs of the cell (γM_{ij}). Waste is also subject to diffusion and decays proportionally to the current amount. Cells initiate apopotosis if the following threshold condition is met: $W_{ij} \geq \delta_c$, where δ_c is the upper bound that cells can withstand.

In figure 3 we show an example of how aggregates grow in size, with increasing levels of waste until some cells meet this threshold and die. As a result, a few smaller aggregates are created, which can export -through passive diffusion- enough waste to avoid death. This pattern of growth until a critical size has been reached appeared quite robust to parameter changes (listed in the caption of figure 3), which were arbitrarily chosen and have arbitrary units.

B. Mutation

Little is known about the genetic changes behind the establishment of the snowflake phenotype reported in Ratcliff et al. Whether it involved extensive rewiring of basic adhesion toolkit genes or slight tuning of interactions in gene networks we do not know, but experiments involving different sedimentation times clearly show that



FIG. 3 Growth and sequential splitting of an aggregate due to cell death. Here (a-e), five spatial snapshots are shown at different times within the in silico growth phase. Living and apoptotic cells are shown as blue and red circles respectively. After a fixed number of algorithm cycles, apoptotic cells disappear with their springs, causing the breakage of the aggregate into smaller clusters (b,c and e). The waste field appears as a continuously shaded gradient, darker areas indicating higher concentration levels. As expected, the core of the aggregate is highly enriched in byproducts, eventually causing the death of cells when it surpasses a certain threshold. A different view of the waste field of snapshot e is given in (f). The simulation times in algorithm cycles for the snapshots are: 200, 250, 300, 350 and 400. The parameter's values used in this simulation are: $D_\phi = 0.1$, $D_W = 0.1$, $\rho = 0.1$, $\beta_c = 0.01$, $M_c = 80$, $\kappa = 0.25$, $\gamma = 0.2$, $\delta_c = 4.5$, $\delta_\phi = 0.1$, $\delta_W = 0.01$, initial concentrations $\phi_0 = 20$ and $W_0 = 0$, and a non-evolving adhesion probability $p = 1$.

correct separation between cells is not a binary, all or nothing, process.

In order to make the less assumptions about the genetic changes taking place in Ratcliff et al., our model enables evolution of only one cell parameter: p_{ij}, which stands for the probability of remaining attached to the offspring in the event of a division, and condenses the effect of multiple genes related to adhesion mutating independently. As such, p_{ij} is a continuous variable constrained between zero and one. This parameter is inherited by daughter cells with very small variations, namely, a flat distribution ± 0.05 is applied at each division event.

C. Selection process

In Ratcliff's et al. paper, the researchers made use of gravity as the external force facilitating the differential deposition of cell aggregates [15]. Physically this corresponds to a simple property of increasingly large objects falling within a fluid medium with a given friction and a fixed gravity field. In our model, we have used a simplified two step process to emulate the experimental setup used by Ratcliff et al.

At the beginning of each simulation a set of cells was created with random positions in the virtual space, and were grown until 500 cells were obtained under agitation conditions (no sedimentation). Afterwards, we let the cells / aggregates fall until a fixed number simulation cycles had passed, the aforementioned settling time. Then, individues located at the bottom, below a given critical height h_c, were uplifted to new random positions leaving intact their history and traits. Moreover, the virtual medium was refreshed to homogeneous nutrient (ϕ_0) and waste (W_0) level.

When growing, cells move in a random-walk fashion, which is an approximation to continuously shaken media. Since they have no preferential direction of movement they tend to be homogeneously distributed through the simulation space. When settling, we use a biased random-walk as an approximation of a sedimentation process. The bias introduced is computed using stroke's law. During this phase all cells/clusters tend to go towards the bottom, eventually reaching the selection zone.

III. RESULTS

Several traits of the multicellular aggregates emerging through the simulation can be measured with the experimental results discussed above. In our study we have followed both average values of aggregate size over generations as well as those selected traits (such as cell-cell adhesion) favouring the selection process towards larger



FIG. 4 (a) Time evolution of average aggregate sizes using the same parameters described before (figure 3) but with free, evolving p_i. Values shown (black dots) are averages of 30 replicate experiments and the shaded area represents one standard deviation of the dataset. In (b, c) we show two snapshots, obtained at the end of transfers 100 and 150 respectively (marked by arrows in a), which correspond to $\langle p \rangle = 0.25$ and $\langle p \rangle = 0.75$ (data not shown). In (d) we also show the size distribution for $t = 150$, both in the selected and non-selected area (red and black respectively).

aggregates. We can estimate the probability of finding aggregates of a given size $|A_i|$, given by:

$$P(|A_i|;t) = \frac{N(|A_i|;t)}{\sum_{\mu=1}^{M} N(|A_\mu|;t)}. \quad (7)$$

In figure 4a we display the evolution of the mean aggregate size as a function of time, calculated from:

$$\langle |A|(t) \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\mu=1}^{M} |A_i| N(|A_\mu|;t)}{\sum_{\mu=1}^{M} N(|A_\mu|;t)}. \quad (8)$$

We can appreciate a logistic-like growth pattern, thus exhibiting attrition after a given number of steps. The standard deviation is also displayed as a shaded envelope arround the mean. Two snapshots of the aggregate spatial distribution at the end of two selection phases are shown. These correspond to transfers 100 and 150, marked by arrows in (a). In these particular transfers, $\langle p \rangle$ had reached 0.25 (b) and 0.75 (c). A yellow region is included as a visual help to difference the selected region. In (d) we display the size distribution of aggregate sizes above (black) and below (red) the critical height h_c for $T = 150$ and $\langle p \rangle = 0.75$. It is possible to appreciate the progressive displacement towards higher aggregate sizes in the selected region (yellow) as a result of the sedimentation process.

A specially relevant result seems to support our view. In Ratcliff's paper, it was shown that a highly nonlinear correlation exists between the size of the aggregate and the fraction of cells undergoing death within them (figure 5a, inset). In a nutshell, what is observed is that little death is found under a given aggregate size whereas it rapidly grows once we cross this threshold. However, the similar nonlinearity is obtained in our evolution model, as shown in figure 5a (main plot), where we display the statistics of cell death against the size of the aggregates. A nonlinear relationship is also found in our model, which is due to the nonlinearities associated to the thresholds of survival as well as the nonlinear relationships due to the geometric constraints imposed by our system.

IV. DISCUSSION

Unraveling the mechanisms responsible for the emergence of multicellular life forms out from single-cell systems represents a major challenge for our understanding of biological complexity. The traditional approach to this problem was based either in data-driven, experimental and phylogenetic analysis or in mathematical and computer models of simple cell-like units and their emerging interactions [24]. The experimental work described in [15] provides a novel way of addressing this problem through a simple and elegant design of a selection-driven experimental setup. Despite the differences existing between wild and laboratory microorganisms [25] we can safely conjecture that the mechanisms responsible for generating and disaggregating cell clusters should be universal.

Although the experimental results suggested an interpretation of the evolutionary dynamics in terms of an evolved, regulated response, the results reported here suggest a simpler, alternative interpretation in terms of a diffusion-limited process of aggregate growth where the cluster of cells keeps growing provided that enough waste is excreted passively into the medium. Once its size is large enough though, cells occupying the inner layers of the aggregate will start to trigger apoptotic mechanisms shown to occur in natural strains due to the accumulation of endogenous chemical cues, like acetic acid or ammonia. This alternative view does not disprove that



FIG. 5 Nonlinear relation between death rates and aggregate size (a). Our diffusion-driven model predicts a slow increase in death rates (here measured as the observed fraction of dead cells within a cluster) up to a certain aggregate size, from which death rapidly increases. Such a nonlinear relation was also found in the experiments (inset, adapted from Ratcliff et al 2012) and considered evidence for a selection process for programmed cell death. However (main plot) the diffusion-limited model discussed here predicts a very similar outcome, with low death rates below a given aggregate size and a sharp increase beyond that threshold. Localized cell death (b): 90.55% of the $2 \cdot 10^4$ observed apoptotic cells were among the 25% of cells closest to the centre of the aggregate. Below we show a sample cluster with its cells classified in four groups after their centrality, the different shades of grey correspond to the quartiles shown in the pie chart.

the observed apoptotic rates are a consequence of adaptation, but offers a clear substrate from which evolution could act, increasing the prevalence of an already existing mechanism.

Accordingly, our interpretation does not diminish the relevance and implications of the experimental evolution experiments. On the contrary, we think that this interpretation suggests a potentially interesting framework concerning the steps followed by primitive aggregates predating the first multicellular life forms. Aggregates breaking up due to internal cell death through toxicity results in a mechanism of splitting that clearly goes beyond the single-cell level, but is based in physical (or physico-chemical) constraints instead of actively operating regulatory mechanisms and signals. Such role played by physics over the cell's molecular machinery is consistent with a view of evolving multicellularity based on an early dominance of physical mechanisms over genetic ones [18,26-28].

Our model provides a simple computational framework that can be expanded in different ways. It also provides a useful system to design new forms of evolving multicellular aggregates. In this context, an interesting avenue can be considered here involving the use of synthetic biology, where specific engineered circuits for population size control or programmed cell death have been designed using microbial models. As a result of such work, it is fair to talk about to design cell-cell interactions in order to provide new, controlled scenarios of multicellular evolution [29]. In this context, we could take advantage of new engineered forms of cellular aggregation that can then be evolved over time. Such synthetic multicellular approach will offer a whole pathway of inquiry into the problem of how complex life might evolve or how we can evolve them.

Aknowledgments

We thank the members of the complex systems lab for useful discussions. RS thanks the European Center of Living Technology for its hospitality. This work has been supported by the Botin Foundation (SDN,RS), MINECO BES-2010-038940 fellowship (SDN) and by the Santa Fe institute, where most of the research was done. We would also like to thank the referees and editors for comments and suggestions that greatly improved the quality of the manuscript.

V. REFERENCES

1. Bonner, J. T. 2001. First signals: the evolution of multicellular development. Princeton U. Press.

2. Maynard Smith, J. and Szathmáry, E. 1995. The major transitions in evolution. Oxford U. Press.

3. Erwin, D. H. 2008. Macroevolution of ecosys-

tem engineering, niche construction and diversity. Trends in ecology and Evolution. **6**, 304-310.

4. Boraas, M.E., Seale D.B. and Boxhorn J.E. 1998. Phagotrophy by a flagellate selects for colonial prey: A possible origin of multicellularity. Evol. Ecol. Vol. **12**, 153-164.

5. McShea, D.W. 2002. A complexity drain on cells in the evolution of multicellularity. Evolution **56**, 441-452.

6. King, N. 2004. The unicellular ancestry of animal development. Developmental Cell. **7**, 313-325.

7. Grossberg, R.K. and and Strahmann, R.R. 2007. The Evolution of Multicellularity: A Minor Major Transition? Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. **38**, 621-654.

8. Rokas, A. 2008. The molecular origins of multicellular transitions. Curr. Opin. Genet. and Dev. **18**, 472-478.

9. Ruiz-Trillo, I., Roger, A. J., Burger, G., Gray, M. W., & Lang, B. F. 2008. A phylogenomic investigation into the origin of metazoa. Molecular biology and evolution, 25(4), 664-672.

10. Erwin, D. H. 2009. The early origin of the bilaterian developmental toolkit. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. **364**, 2253-2261.

11. Shapiro, J. A. 1998. Thinking about bacterial populations as multicellular organisms. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. **52**, 81-104.

12. Ben-Jacob E., Cohen I., Golding I., Gutnick D.L., Tcherpakov M., Helbing D. and Ron I.G. 2000. Bacterial cooperative organization under antibiotic stress. Physica A. **282**, 247 - 282.

13. Sebé-Pedrós, A., Irimia M, del Campo J, Parra-Acero H, Russ C, Nusbaum C, Blencow BJ, and Ruiz-Trillo, I. 2013. Regulated aggregative multicellularity in a close unicellular relative of metazoa. eLife **2**, e01287.

14. Olson, B. J. 2013. From brief encounters to lifelong unions. eLife **2**, e01893.

15. Ratcliff, W.C., Denison, R.F., Borrello M. and Travisano M. 2012. Experimental evolution of multicellularity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **109**, 1595-1600.

16. Rebolleda-Gomez, M., Ratcliff, W.C. and Travisano, M. 2012. Adaptation and Divergence during Experimental Evolution of Multicellular Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Artificial Life **13**, 99-104.

17. Oud, B., Guagalupe-Medina, V., Nijkamp, J. F., de Ridder, D. et al. 2013. Genome duplication and mutations in ACE2 cause multicellular, fast-sedimenting phenotypes in evolved Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **110**, E4223-E4231.

18. Ratcliff, W.C., Pentz, J.T. and Travisano, M. 2013. Tempo and model of multicellular adaptation in experimentally evolved Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Evolution.**67**-6: 1573-1581

19. Solé, R.V. and Valverde S. 2013. Before the endless forms: Embodied Model of Transition from Single Cells to Aggregates to Ecosystem Engineering. PLOS ONE **8**, e59664.

20. Ludovico, P., Sousa, M. J., Silva, M. T., Leao, C, Corte-Real, M. 2001. Saccharomyces cerevisiae commits to a programmed cell death process in response to acetic acid. Microbiology **147**, 2409-2415.

21. Váchová, L. and Palková, Z. 2005. Physiological Regulation of Yeast Cell Death in Multicellular Colonies Is Triggered by Ammonia. The Journal of Cell Biology **169**, 711-717.

22. Carmona-Gutierrez, D., Eisenberg, T., Bttner, S., Meisinger, C., Kroemer, G., & Madeo, F. 2010. Apoptosis in Yeast: Triggers, Pathways, Subroutines. Cell Death and Differentiation **17**, 763?73.

23. Spears, W. M. and Spears, D. F. 2011. *Physico-mimetics: Physics-based swarm intelligence*. Springer, Heidelberg.

24. Kirk, D. L. 2005. A twelve-step program for evolving multicellularity and division of labor. Bioessays **27**, 299-310.

25. Palková A. 2004. Multicellular organisms: laboratory versus nature. EMBO reports **5**, 470-476.

26. Newman, S. A., Forgacs, G. and Müller, G. B. 2006. Before programs: the physical origination of multicellular forms. Int. J. Dev. Biol. **50**, 289-299.

27. Newman, S. A. and Baht, R. 2008. Dynamical patterning modules: physico-genetic determinants of morphological development and evolution. Phys. Biol. **5**, 015008.

28. Solé, R.V. and Valverde S. 2013. Macroevolution in silico: scales constraints and universals. Paleontology **56**, 13271340.

29. Maharbiz, M. M. 2012. Synthetic multicellularity. Trends Cell Biol. **12**, 617-623.